**Comment Group 2's Draft from Group 1**

1. Scientific/practical merit: correctness, accuracy, significance, and non-triviality:-

* The related work is good and lengthy.
* The authors should try to get more recent papers/references within the past 5 years; as big data systems is a fast growing area of interest. This will help their report to be updated with the latest methods and strategies being used.
* The authors should try to explain more about the algorithms or methods they intend on using to tackle this problem.

2. Presentation: clarity, organization, and English usage:-

* There were some typo and grammar errors that we spotted while we were reading the paper. e.g., the first paragraph of the introduction has some grammatical errors, and more.
* We didn’t find the section for the detailed description of the topic, and the thoughts and remarks on how to use one/more of the algorithms and protocols to achieve the security requirements.
* The authors did not clearly explain the methods they plan on using or implementing; this is one of the most important part of a good report.

**Comment Group 4's Draft from Group 1**

1. Scientific/practical merit: correctness, accuracy, significance, and non-triviality:-

* The detailed description of the topic was good and explained explicitly. It would be easy for anyone to understand and follow.
* The authors did a great job at giving a general overview about Bluetooth and how it works.
* The authors did not explicitly clarify which algorithms they would be using to solve the defined problem. This can be improved on.

2. Presentation: clarity, organization, and English usage:-

* There were some typo and grammar errors that we spotted while we were reading the paper.
* More than 40% of the references had no year or timestamp. The reference formatting could be improved. This is one of the most important part of a good report.
* The authors should try to get more recent papers/references within the past 5 years. This will help their report to be updated with the latest methods and strategies being used.

**Comment Group 6's Draft from Group 1**

1. Scientific/practical merit: correctness, accuracy, significance, and non-triviality:-

* The paper contains only the related works to the topics at hand. There are no sections for the abstract, introduction, detailed description of the topic, thoughts and remarks on how to use the algorithms and protocols in class to achieve some security requirements, and the references.
* The authors did not describe what approach they would use to solve the considered problem.
* We couldn’t get a clear picture of what the authors would like to do or which algorithm they would like to implement.

2. Presentation: clarity, organization, and English usage:-

* There were some typo and grammar errors that we spotted while we were reading the paper.
* The authors could do a better job at organizing their report with all the necessary sections. Anyone should be able to understand what’s going on in the report even if he is from a different area.